



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/745,795	12/26/2000	Yasuki lizuka	PADE: 056	2568	
7.	590 06/13/2005		EXAM	INER	
PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P.			JACKSON, J	JACKSON, JAKIEDA R	
Suite 210 1421 Prince Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Alexandria, VA 22314-2805			2655		
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005	DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
## Examiner	•						
Jakieda R Jackson 2655	Office Action Summary						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. E datasizes of time may be variable useful the provision of 3°C FR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 30°C 60°C from the medium data of the provision of 3°C FR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 30°C 60°C from the medium data of the provision of 3°C FR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 30°C 60°C from the medium data of the high of the 30°C 60°C from the medium data of the high of the 30°C 60°C from the medium data of the second patent benefit or reply in specified above, the medium stations provided will pay and will expire 30°C 60°C 60°C from 1.74(6). Status 1)∑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 May 2005. 2a]☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)∑ This action is non-direct second patent benefit of the medium data of the communication, even the day filled, may retrieve any second patent benefit data of the communication, even the day filled, may retrieve any second patent benefit and patent benefit from the filling data of the communication, even the filling data of the communication, even the filling data of the communication. 1)∑ Responsive to communication(s) filled on Q2 May 2005. 2a]☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)∑ This action is FINAL. 2b)∑ This action is formation for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 20°C Claim(s) in sard and 10°M 20°C part pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is sare eventually in the application. 5b)☐ Claim(s) is sard eventually in the practice of the application of the provision of the day and patent pat							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Letarelizate of the margh be wallable under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.136(d), he event, however, may a reply be timely filled Letarelizate of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply whitin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, as reply whitin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, a reply whitin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, a reply whitin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, a reply whitin the statutory minimum of the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply whitin the state or standards predict of the statutory minimum of the state of the communication, even if timely field, may return a distribution. Failure to reply whitin the state of the standards and the state of the communication, even if timely field, may return a distribution. Failure to reply whitin the state of the standards and standards and standards and standards. Failure to reply whitin the standards and s	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edensions of them may be variable under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.15(a). In oevent, however, may a reply be timely filed offers SK (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. It No parties from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply within the sector extended partied for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (SU S.C. § 132). Any reply secret by the Office above, the maximum statutey prind via disply and will expect SK (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply within the sector extended partied for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (SU S.C. § 132). Any reply secret by the Office alter than three making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patient turn adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.734(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on Q2 May 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 20 4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are eliowed. 5b) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5c) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8c) Claim(s) 2b is/are objected to. 8c) Claim(s) 2b is/are objected to. 8c) Claim(s) 2b is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9c) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9c) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers (Papers) objected to by the Examiner.	Period for Reply						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 May 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 20 4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 4a) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Palental Application (PTO-152)	Status						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-10 is large pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 M	av 2005.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08)		-					
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<i>,</i> —	,_					
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	,— ··· ·					
4)	Disposition of Claims		·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Graftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Graftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-158) 5) ☐ Notice of Graftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-158)</u>	20						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
6 Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
7) Claim(s) 20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		r					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 10 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 2, 2005 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. In response to the Office Action mailed February 2, 2005, applicant submitted an amendment filed on May 2, 2005, in which the applicant requested reconsideration with respect to claims 1-8 and 9-20.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant argues that neither Abe, Halstead or Yamamoto disclose a step of calculating or storing character joint probabilities. However, applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Halstead teaches a system maintaining intra-SPB morpheme bigram probabilities and inter-SPB morpheme bigram probabilities. The probabilities are derived by analyzing tagged corpora (storage) and determining the probability of the occurrence of such intra-SPB morpheme bigrams and inter-SPB morpheme bigrams (calculating step). There are intra-SPB bigrams for BEGIN and a1; a1 and a2; a2 and

a3; a3 and END; BEGIN and b1; b1 and b2; b2 and b3; b3 and END (joint characters; column 8, lines 49-65).

Applicant also argues that claim 18 and 19 has not motivation of modifying the combination and clarification is requested.

Regarding claim 18, Halstead in view of Abe discloses the character string dividing method, but lacks wherein it is checked if any word starts from a certain character position when a preceding word ends at a character position and, when no dictionary word starting from said character position is present, appropriate character strings are added as unknown words starting from said character position.

Yamamoto discloses the method wherein it is checked if any word starts from a certain character position (i) when a preceding word ends at a character position (wi; column 2, lines 25-26) and, when no dictionary word starting from said character position (i) is present (character sequence not present in dictionary; column 2, lines 63-66), appropriate character strings are added as unknown words starting from said character position (i) (column 2, lines 15-31), where said character strings to be added have a character length (character length; column 2, lines 23-31) not smaller than n (n) and not larger than m (i), where n and m are positive integers (column 8, lines 13-24 and column 2, lines 15-31), to analyze language text without using a dictionary, using a probabilistic technique.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Halstead and Abe's invention such that appropriate character strings are added as unknown

Application/Control Number: 09/745,795

Art Unit: 2655

words starting from said character position, to be able to pick out words or phrases in text of different languages with high accuracy and high speed (column 1, lines 14-39).

Regarding claim 19, Halstead in view of Abe discloses the character string method wherein

a score is a sum of calculated joint probabilities at respective division points (Halstead; statistic probability for breaking; column 4, lines 25-47 and column 8, lines 49-65); and

a candidate having the smallest score is selected as said correct division pattern (figure 8, element 66), but lacks wherein a constant value given to said unknown word is larger than a constant value given to said dictionary word and a score of each candidate is calculated based on a sum of said constant values given to said unknown word and said dictionary word.

Hon discloses the method wherein

a constant value given to said unknown word is larger (unrecognized word with value of 1) than a constant value given to said dictionary word (smaller than 1; column 9, lines 20-27); and

a score of each candidate is calculated based on a sum of said constant values given to said unknown word (new) and said dictionary word (predetermined attributes; column 9, lines 36-40), to increase the probability of recognizing that word in the future.

Application/Control Number: 09/745,795

Art Unit: 2655

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Halstead and Abe's invention such that unknown word is given a value larger than the dictionary word and that the score is calculated based on the unknown word and the dictionary word, to improve the probability of recognizing new words in the future (column 2, lines 18-35).

Page 5

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Applicant's arguments filed May 2, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2655

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-2, 4-5 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Halstead, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,963,893), hereinafter referenced as Halstead.

Regarding **claim 1**, Halstead discloses a character string dividing system for segmenting a character string (breaking a text string) into a plurality of words (into separate words; column 3, lines 52-54), comprising:

input means (figure 1, element 14) for receiving a document (text string; column 4, lines 25-47);

document data storing means (tagged corpora) serving as a document database for storing a received document (column 8, lines 49-65);

character joint probability calculating means (probabilities derived by analyzing) for calculating a character joint probability that represents a probability of two neighboring characters appearing immediately next to each other in said document database (column 8, lines 49-65);

Application/Control Number: 09/745,795

Art Unit: 2655

probability table storing means (tagged corpora) for storing a table of calculated character joint probabilities (column 8, lines 49-65);

character string dividing means for segmenting an objective character string (breaking a text string) into a plurality of words (into separate words; column 3, lines 52-54) with reference to said table of calculated character joint probabilities (column 8, lines 49-65); and

output means (figure 1,element 16) for outputting a division result of said objective character string (column 13, lines 3-21).

Regarding **claim 2**, Halstead discloses a character string dividing method for segmenting a character string (breaking a text string) into a plurality of words (into separate words; column 3, lines 52-54), said method comprising the steps of:

calculating a character joint probability that represents a probability of two neighboring characters appearing immediately next to each other in a given document database (column 8, lines 49-65); and

segmenting an objective character string (breaking a text string) into a plurality of words (into separate words; column 3, lines 52-54) with reference to calculated character joint probabilities (adjacent characters to the bigram phrase break probabilities; column 6, lines 19-22) so that each division point (|) of said objective character string (figure 6) is present between two neighboring characters having a smaller character joint probability (figure 8, element 66 with column 8, lines 49-65).

Regarding claim 4, Halstead discloses the method comprising the steps of:

and

statistically calculating a character joint probability that represents a probability of two neighboring characters appearing immediately in a given document database (probabilities are derived by analyzing tagged corpora), said character joint probability being calculated as an appearance probability (represented as /as a1 a2 a3/b1 b2 b3/) of a first character string appearing immediately before a second character string (Begin an a1), said first character string including a former one of said two neighboring characters as a tail thereof (a3 and End) and said second character string including a latter one of said two neighboring characters as a head thereof (column 8, lines 49-65);

Page 8

segmenting an objective character string into a plurality of words (breaking a text string; column 8, lines 49-65) with reference to calculated character joint probabilities (column 8, lines 49-65) so that each division point (column 3, lines 52-54) of said objective character string is present between two neighboring characters having a smaller character joint probability (column 8, lines 49-65).

Regarding **claim 5**, Halstead discloses the method wherein said character joint probability of two neighboring characters is calculated based on a first probability of said first character string (Begin and a1; a1 and a2; a2 and a3; a3 and END) appearing immediately before said latter one of said two neighboring characters and also based on a second probability (Begin and b1; b1 and b2; b2 and b3; b3 and END) of said second character string appearing immediately after said former one of said two neighboring characters (column 8, lines 49-65).

Regarding **claim 7**, Halstead discloses the method wherein said division point (|) of said objective character string (figure 6) is determined based on a comparison between the character joint probability (adjacent characters to the bigram phrase break probabilities; column 6, lines 19-22 with column 8, lines 49-65) and a threshold (column 9, lines 6-11 and lines 59-65), and said threshold is determined with reference to an average word length of resultant words (column 1, lines 21-25).

Regarding **claim 8**, Halstead discloses the method, wherein a changing point of character type (h, K, H and P) a prospective division point (periods are used to specify the breaks) of said objective character string (column 10, lines 39-49).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3, 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halstead, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,963,893), hereinafter referenced as Halstead in view of Abe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,173,253), hereinafter referenced as Abe.

Regarding **claim 3**, Halstead discloses a character string segmenting (breaking a text string) into a plurality of words (into separate words; column 3, lines 52-54), said method comprising the steps of:

calculating a character joint probability that represents a probability of two neighboring characters (statistical probabilities for breaking bigrams; column 4, lines 25-32) appearing immediately next to each other in a given document database (column 5, lines 16-25); and

segmenting an objective character string (breaking a text string) into a plurality of words (into separate words; column 3, lines 52-54) with reference to calculated joint probabilities (adjacent characters to the bigram phrase break probabilities; column 6, lines 19-22) so that each division point (|) of said objective character string (figure 6) is present between two neighboring characters having a smaller joint probability (figure 8, element 66), but lacks wherein said character joint probability being calculated as an appearance probability of a specific character string.

Abe discloses the method wherein said character joint probability being calculated as an appearance probability of a specific character string appearing immediately before a specific character (specified characters), said specific character string including a former one (before) of said two neighboring characters as a tail thereof and said specific character being a latter one (after) of said two neighboring characters (column 6, line 35 – column 7, line 10), for the easiness of accessibility.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Halstead's invention such that said character

joint probability being calculated as an appearance probability of a specific character string, to allow an easy way to access character code in the dictionary (column 6, lines 43-45).

Regarding **claim 10**, Halstead discloses system comprising:

input means (figure 1, element 14) for receiving a document (text string; column 4, lines 25-47);

document data storing means (tag, lines ed corpora) serving as a document database for storing a received document (column 8, lines 49-65);

character joint probability calculating means (probabilities derived by analyzing) for calculating a character joint probability that represents a probability of two neighboring characters appearing immediately next to each other in said document database (column 8, lines 49-65);

probability table storing means (tagged corpora) for storing a table of calculated character joint probabilities (column 8, lines 49-65), but lacks a word dictionary, division pattern producing means, correct pattern selecting means and output means.

Abe discloses a character string dividing system, comprising;

word dictionary storing means (word dictionary) for storing a word dictionary prepared or produced beforehand (preparing a dictionary; column 1, lines 65-67);

division pattern producing means (candidate extraction process) for producing a plurality of candidates (extracting candidates) for a division pattern of an objective character string (sentence) with reference to information of said word dictionary

Art Unit: 2655

(vocabulary dictionary; figure 2, element 131; column 4, lines 44-53 with figure 10; column 8, lines 42-46);

correct pattern selecting means for selecting a correct division pattern (select an optimal result) from said plurality of candidates (characters, words or sentences; column 9, lines 1-12) with reference to said table of character joint probabilities (column 8, lines 30-37); and

output means (figure 23, element 2305) for outputting said selected correct division pattern as a division result of said objective character string (column 3, lines 35-42 with figure 7, element 704), for extracting word-to-word relation information automatically and preparing a dictionary.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Halstead's system wherein it comprises a word dictionary, division pattern producing means, correct pattern selecting means and output means, for extracting word-to-word relation information automatically and preparing a dictionary (column 1, lines 65-67) and for allowing a user to input long words in a sentence in terms of elliptic characters without disturbing the continuity thought (column 1, lines 7-9).

Regarding **claims 11 and 17**, Halstead discloses a method comprising the steps of:

calculating a character joint probability that represents a probability of two neighboring characters (statistical probabilities for breaking bigrams; column 4, lines 25-

32) appearing immediately next to each other in a given document database (column 5, lines 16-25);

storing character calculated joint probabilities (storage for n-grams; column 1, lines 55-66);

segmenting an objective character string (breaking a text string) into a plurality of words (into separate words) with reference to a word dictionary (column 3, lines 52-60); and

that each division point (|) of said objective character string (figure 6) is present between two neighboring characters having a smaller joint probability (figure 8, element 66), but lacks wherein, when there are a plurality of candidates for a division pattern of said objective character string, a correct division pattern is selected from said plurality of candidates with reference to character calculated joint probabilities so that each division point of said objective character string is present between two neighboring characters having a smaller character joint probability.

Abe discloses the method wherein, there are a plurality of candidates for a division pattern (extracting candidates) of said objective character string (sentence; column 4, lines 44-53 with figure 10; column 8, lines 42-46), a correct division pattern is selected (select an optimal result) from said plurality of candidates (characters, words or sentences; column 9, lines 1-12) with reference to calculated character joint probabilities (column 8, lines 30-37), to input character strings without having to specify the candidate words and/or characters.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Halstead's invention such that a correct division pattern is selected from said plurality of candidates with reference to calculated character joint probabilities so that each division point of said objective character string is present between two neighboring characters having a smaller joint probability, to allow the input of long word in a sentences without disturbing continuity of thought and the increase in operability (column 1, lines 7-15).

Regarding **claim 12**, Halstead discloses the method wherein

a score of each candidate is calculated (scores are calculated; column 9, lines 611) when there are a plurality of candidates for a division pattern of said objective
character string (breaking a text string; column 3, lines 52-54),

said score is a sum of character joint probabilities at respective division points (statistic probability for breaking; column 4, lines 25-47) of said objective character string (text string; column 3, lines 52-54) in accordance with a division pattern of said each candidate (figure 6), and

a candidate having the smallest score is selected as said correct division pattern (figure 8, element 66).

Regarding **claim 13**, Halstead discloses the method wherein a score of each candidate is calculated (scores are calculated; column 9, lines 6-11) when there are a plurality of candidates for a division pattern of said objective character string (breaking a text string; column 3, lines 52-54), and

a candidate having the smallest score is selected as said correct division pattern (figure 8, element 66), but lacks wherein said score is a product of character joint probabilities at respective division points.

Abe discloses the method wherein said score is a product (column 8, lines 46-54) of character joint probabilities (transition probability) at respective division points of said objective character string (sentence) in accordance with a division pattern of said each candidate (column 8, lines 37-60), to determine the optimal word in considering the context of a sentence.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Halstead's invention such that said score is a product of character joint probabilities at respective division points, to allow the input of long words in a sentence without disturbing continuity of thought and the increase in operability (column 1, lines 7-15).

Regarding claim 14, Halstead discloses the method wherein

a calculated character joint probability is given to each division point of said candidate (column 5, lines 41-55);

a constant value is assigned to each point between two characters not divided (each pair of adjacent characters; column 6, lines 19-24 and column 10, lines 1-9);

a score of each candidate (score assigned to each entry; column 10, lines 1-9) is calculated based on a sum of said character joint probability (highest scoring path kept; column 9, lines 6-11) and said constant value thus assigned (column 10, lines 1-9); and

a candidate having the smallest score is selected as said correct division pattern (figure 8, element 66).

Regarding **claim 15**, Halstead discloses the method wherein a calculated character joint probability is given to each division point of said candidate (column 5, lines 41-55);

a constant value is assigned to each point between two characters not divided

(each pair of adjacent characters; column 6, lines 19-24 and column 10, lines 1-9); and

a candidate having the smallest score is selected as said correct division pattern

(figure 8, element 66), but lacks a score of each candidate is calculated based on a

product of said joint probability.

Abe discloses the method wherein a score of each candidate is calculated based on a product (column 8, lines 46-54) of said character joint probability (transition probability; column 8, lines 37-60; figures 2, 5-8, 10, 13 and column 6, line 63 – column 7, line 7, column 8, lines 41-59 with column 9, lines 1-12), to determine the optimal word in considering the context of a sentence.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Halstead's invention such that a score of each candidate is calculated based on a product of said figures 2, 5-8, 10, 13 and column 6, line 63 – column 7, line 7, column 8, lines 41-59 with column 9, lines 1-12joint probability, to allow the input of long words in a sentence without disturbing continuity of thought and the increase in operability (column 1, lines 7-15).

Art Unit: 2655

Regarding **claim 16**, it is interpreted and rejected for the same reasons as set forth in the combination of claims 1 and 10.

8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Halstead in view of Yamamoto et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,098,035), hereinafter referenced as Yamamoto.

Regarding **claim 6**, Halstead discloses the method comprising the steps of: calculating a character joint probability that represents a probability of two neighboring characters appearing immediately next to each other in a given document database (column 8, lines 49-65) prepared for learning purpose (column 12, lines 1-3 with lines 20-29); and

segmenting an objective character string (breaking a text string) into a plurality of words (into separate words; column 3, lines 52-54) with reference to calculated character joint probabilities (adjacent characters to the bigram phrase break probabilities; column 6, lines 19-22) so that each division point (|) of said objective character string (figure 6) is present between two neighboring characters having a smaller joint probability (figure 8, element 66 with column 8, lines 49-65), but lacks wherein, when said objective character string involves a sequence of characters not involved in said document database, a character joint probability of any two neighboring characters not appearing in said database is estimated based on said

Art Unit: 2655

calculated character joint probabilities for the neighboring characters stored in said document database.

Yamamoto discloses a method for segmenting a character string into a plurality of words wherein, when said objective character string involves a sequence of characters not involved in said document database (unknown word not present in dictionary; column 2, lines 63-66), a character joint probability of any two neighboring characters (character sequence) not appearing in said database (dictionary not used) is estimated based on said calculated character joint probabilities (chain probability) for the neighboring characters stored in said document database (column 2, line 63 – column 3, line 28), to find an optimum result by a probabilistic model.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Halstead's method wherein, when said objective character string involves a sequence of characters not involved in said document database, a character joint probability of any two neighboring characters not appearing in said database is estimated based on said calculated character joint probabilities for the neighboring characters stored in said document database, to conduct analysis with greater accuracy (column 5, lines 31-35).

9. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halstead in view of Abe in further view of Yamamoto.

Regarding **claim 18**, Halstead in view of Abe discloses the character string dividing method, but lacks wherein it is checked if any word starts from a certain character position when a preceding word ends at a character position and, when no dictionary word starting from said character position is present, appropriate character strings are added as unknown words starting from said character position.

Yamamoto discloses the method wherein it is checked if any word starts from a certain character position (i) when a preceding word ends at a character position (wi; column 2, lines 25-26) and, when no dictionary word starting from said character position (i) is present (character sequence not present in dictionary; column 2, lines 63-66), appropriate character strings are added as unknown words starting from said character position (i) (column 2, lines 15-31), where said character strings to be added have a character length (character length; column 2, lines 23-31) not smaller than n (n) and not larger than m (i), where n and m are positive integers (column 8, lines 13-24 and column 2, lines 15-31), to analyze language text without using a dictionary, using a probabilistic technique.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Halstead and Abe's invention such that appropriate character strings are added as unknown words starting from said character position, to be able to pick out words or phrases in text of different languages with high accuracy and high speed (column 1, lines 14-39).

10. **Claim 19** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halstead in view of Abe in further view of Hon et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,852,801), hereinafter referenced as Hon.

Regarding **claim 19**, Halstead in view of Abe discloses the character string method wherein

a score is a sum of calculated joint probabilities at respective division points (Halstead, statistic probability for breaking; column 4, lines 25-47 with column 8, lines 49-65); and

a candidate having the smallest score is selected as said correct division pattern (figure 8, element 66), but lacks wherein a constant value given to said unknown word is larger than a constant value given to said dictionary word and a score of each candidate is calculated based on a sum of said constant values given to said unknown word and said dictionary word.

Hon discloses the method wherein

a constant value given to said unknown word is larger (unrecognized word with value of 1) than a constant value given to said dictionary word (smaller than 1; column 9, lines 20-27); and

a score of each candidate is calculated based on a sum of said constant values given to said unknown word (new) and said dictionary word (predetermined attributes; column 9, lines 36-40), to increase the probability of recognizing that word in the future.

Art Unit: 2655

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Halstead and Abe's invention such that unknown word is given a value larger than the dictionary word and that the score is calculated based on the unknown word and the dictionary word, to improve the probability of recognizing new words in the future (column 2, lines 18-35).

Allowable Subject Matter

11. **Claim 20** is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 20 discloses the character string method wherein a constant value given to said unknown word is larger than a constant value given to said dictionary word and a score of each candidate is calculated based on a product of said constant values given to said unknown word and said dictionary word. Prior art such as Abe and Hon disclose similar methods but fail to teach that these scores are calculated based on a *product* of unknown words and dictionary words.

Art Unit: 2655

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jakieda R Jackson whose telephone number is 571.272.7619. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 5:00p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571.272.7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JRJ May 25, 2005

> SUSAN MCFADDEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

ham Mahy